

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/902,339	07/10/2001	Rudolf Beisswanger	VOI0202.US	8901
7590 04/21/2004			EXAMINER	
TAYLOR & AUST, P.C.			ALVO, MARC S	
142 S. Main St. P.O. Box 560			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Avilla, IN 46710			1731	

DATE MAILED: 04/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/902,339	BEISSWANGER ET AL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Steve Alvo	1731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-63 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-63</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form P1O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)		(DTO 440)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	m	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number:

09/902,339

Art Unit: 1731

The restriction requirement of July 1, 2003 is repeated and made final;. The non-elected claims are withdrawn as the election was made without traverse. The non-elected claims should be cancelled in response to this action.

DE 200 11 943 has been dropped as a reference as its effective date is not prior to the instant priority date of 7/10/2000.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 32-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 98/33974 in view of LIEDES et al or DEVLIN or NIEMNEN et al.

WO 98/33974 teaches an apparatus for separating (see Figure 1A-1D) a flexible web (A) from an upstream section to a downstream section having two separation elements (C1 and C2) forming an edge strip (B) an adjoining transfer strip (A') and remaining web portion (A) and one deflection device (see large arrow in Figures 1A and 1B for air deflecting web portion away from edge strip) for deflecting the web away from the edge strip. The edge strip in Figure 1A eventually becomes the web as it is shifted to the right as shown in Figure 1B, forming new edge strip (B). The removal of the edge strip is by deflecting the edge strip is taught by LIEDES et al (see abstract) or DEVLIN (see 91 and 92) or NIEMNEN et al (see abstract). It would have been obvious to the routineer that the edge strip of WO 98/33974 could have been deflected using the deflecting devices of LIEDES et al or DEVLIN or NIEMNEN et al. It would have been obvious

Application/Control Number:

09/902,339

Art Unit: 1731

that either the web or edge strip could be deflected as either one could be removed from the either or both deflected from the other to perform the operation of separation.

The argument that LIEDES et al or DEVLIN or NIEMNEN et al do not simultaneously tauten the edge strip upon deflection thereof is not convincing as the strip (A) of WO 98/33974 is tautened as it is deflected away from the strips A' and B. It would have been obvious that the edge strips of LIEDES et al or DEVLIN or NIEMNEN et al could be deflected in a similar manner, e.g. tautened.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve Alvo whose telephone number is 703-308-2048. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00 AM to 2:30 PM.

Application/Control Number:

09/902,339 Art Unit: 1731

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 703-308-1164. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Steve Alvo Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1731

msa April 16, 2004